

PREPRINT

Author-formatted, not peer-reviewed document posted on 14/02/2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e101791

Length-weight relationships of 39 continental shelf and deep-water fishes from Northwestern Gulf of México

Ariel A. Chi-Espínola, 匝 M. Eugenia Vega-Cendejas, J. Mirella Hernández De Santillana

= ARPHA Preprints Author-formatted, not peer-reviewed document posted on 14/02/2023 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e101791

1	Length-weight relationships of 39 continental shelf and deep-water fishes from Northwestern
2	Gulf of México
3 4	Ariel A. CHI-ESPÍNOLA (0000-0002-3569-5530), M. Eugenia VEGA-CENDEJAS (0000-0002- 5462-069X), J. Mirella HERNÁNDEZ DE SANTILLANA
5	Laboratorio de Taxonomía y Ecología de Peces, CINVESTAV-IPN, Unidad Mérida, km 6 antigua
6	carretera a Progreso, AP 73 Cordemex, C. P. 97310 Mérida, Yucatán, México
7	ariel.chi@cinvestav.mx, maruvega@cinvestav.mx, jovita.hernandez@cinvestav.mx
8	
9	Corresponding author: María Eugenia Vega-Cendejas
10	
11	Abstract
12	Length and weight relationships (LWR) were estimated for 39 fish species from 30 families from
13	the northwestern Gulf of Mexico. Fish specimens were sampled during four oceanographic
14	campaigns (February and October 2016, June and September 2017) using a shrimp trawl net and
15	benthic sled net in 20 locations at depths that ranged from 43 to 3,608 m. New maximum standard
16	length (SL) was obtained for Cyclothone alba, C. braueri, C. pseudopallida, and Lepophidium
17	brevibarbe. A positive allometric growth was reported in 22 species and 17 showed a negative
18	allometric growth.
19	
20	Keywords
21	Length and weight relationship, Gulf of Mexico, deep-water fish, Cyclothone, continental shelf,
22	bathyal
23	
24	
25	Introduction
26	Currently, demersal fish in the northwestern Gulf of Mexico are under pressure from a growing
27	industry that is oil exploration and extraction (Patiño-Ruiz et al. 2003). They are also affected by
28	trawling, forming part of the discarded fauna from shrimp fishing in the area (Chávez-lópez and
29	Morán-Silva 2019). One way to assess the scope and impact of these activities on biodiversity wise
30	is by drawing up a list of the fish fauna in the area, as well as determining the affected life cycles,
31	which are identified by studying the sizes of the fish specimens (Hernández-Padilla et al. 2020).

For this process, length-weight relationship (LWR) analyzes are used, which commonly focused on identifying fish stocks, growth rate of a particular species, among others (Sandoval-Huerta et al. 2015). Therefore, in the present study, it is proposed to determine the LWR of 39 dominant fish species from the northwestern region of the Gulf of Mexico in areas ranging from the continental shelf to the bathyal zone.

37

38 Materials and methods

39 Data collection was carried out during four oceanographic study surveys aboard the "Justo Sierra", research vessel, each trip with an approximate duration of 10 days during the months of February 40 41 and October 2016, and June and September 2017 (adequate weather conditions and project 42 logistics). The activity was carried out at 20 sampling points comprising depths between 50 to 3600 43 m. Two types of fishing gear were implemented depending on the depth of each site, a shrimp trawl 44 (18.29 m long and 4.57 cm mesh size) for depths between 50 to 500 m (9 locations) and a benthic 45 sled net (32.4 m long and 2.5 cm mesh size) for depths greater than 500 and up to 3600 m (11 46 locations); both nets were hauled for one mile at a constant speed of 2.7 knots.

The collected fish were labeled and immediately frozen at -20 °C to be transferred to the laboratory facilities. All specimens were measured and weight fresh, fixed and preserved in alcohol 80%.

49 Some organisms were deposited in the ichthyological collection (CINV-NEC) of CINVESTAV-

50 Merida in Mexico.

We calculated the length-weight relationship using the allometric formula $W = aL^b$ where W is the 51 52 weight of the fish (g), L is the SL (cm), a corresponds to the intercept, and b is the regression 53 coefficient. The values of a and b were calculated with Statgraphics software (Centurion XV, 54 Version 15.1.02, Copyright 1982-2006 StatPoint, Inc.) with a linear least square's regression using 55 a logarithmic scale. With the value of the slope (b), it was established if the fish species has negative growth (b < 3) or positive allometric growth (b > 3) (Froese et al. 2011). Outliers were 56 57 removed using logarithmic plots, and limits for a and b were estimated by a Student's t-test with a 58 95% confidence (Froese 2006).

59

60

61 **Results**

Table 1 shows the LWR analysis with the coefficient of determination r² for 29 species. New maximum lengths are reported for four species: *Cyclothone alba* (5.6 cm SL), *C. braueri* (4.6 cm SL), *C. pseudopallida* (4.8 cm SL), and *Lepophidium brevibarbe* (28.8 SL). All "a" values ranged between 0.0001 (*Trichiurus lepturus*) and 0.1357 (*Fowlerichthys radiosus*); and the "b" values oscillated between 1.772 (*C. braueri*) and 3.648 (*Malacocephalus occidentalis*).

67 **Discussion**

68 Coastal benthic ecosystems in the northwestern region of the Gulf of Mexico are being affected by 69 shrimp trawling (Wakida-kusunoki et al. 2013). The fish that are part of the discarded fauna do not 70 survive and this generates a strong impact on food webs and possibly generates trophic cascades 71 (Diamond 2004; Heath et al. 2014). On the other hand, oil activity, despite not being a totally 72 destructive activity, represents a latent danger in the ecosystem due to future hydrocarbon spills or 73 leaks (Fisher et al. 2016), so understanding the species and cycles of life involved, provides an idea 74 of the possible impact generated by these activities. The fish species with the greatest abundance 75 and distribution in the area are the most affected (Chávez-López and Morán-Silva 2019), generally 76 carnivorous species such as the flounder Trichopsetta ventralis and the snapper Pristipomoides 77 aquilonaris; that regulate the communities of other organisms, avoiding their overpopulation (Rao 78 2018).

79 On the other hand, the species that are located at depths greater than 500 m, are specimens 80 characterized by low abundances and with little information about their populations and growth 81 rates (Danovaro et al. 2017), so the analysis of their biological information is considered relevant. 82 The deep-sea species reported in this study are carnivorous, located in the vertical gradients of the continental slope and the bathyal zone, examples of some of them are Epigonus pandionis, 83 84 Merluccius albidus, Chauliodus sloani, Chlorophthalmus agassizi, among others (Ramírez et al. 85 2019). Furthermore, we highlight an amplitude in its maximum length reported by the literature corresponding to C. alba from 2.9 to 5.6 cm SL, C. braueri from 3.8 to 4.6 cm SL, C. 86 87 pseudopallida from 4.6 to 4.8 cm SL (Harold 2015) and Lepophidium brevibarbe from 27.3 to 88 28.8 cm SL. In addition, we consider that these species are the ones that are possibly being most 89 affected during extraction maneuvers and hydrocarbon leaks in the depths (Fisher et al. 2016). The 90 genus Cyclothone corresponds to the most abundant resource in these deep zones (Olivar et al. 91 2017), and is perhaps the main food source that generates stability in populations, so its impact 92 would generate a disparity in the deep marine ecosystem.

93 LWR studies in the northern Gulf of Mexico are very scarce. In these studies, the species analysed 94 include Chloroscombrus chrysurus and Citharichthys spilopterus (Dawson 1965; Galindo-Cortes et 95 al. 2015) and a single deep-sea species Urophycis cirrata (Matlock et al. 1988). Most of the species 96 mentioned in these investigations are associated with shallow coastal areas. In the present study, 97 LWR information is provided on ecologically important species found at depths greater than 500 98 m, including records of both juvenile and sexually matured organisms. With this information, the 99 reports of these species in the area were completed, as well as the delivery of new biological 100 information on the deep-sea ecosystem, which is a poorly studied region located in the north of the 101 Gulf of Mexico, and where samples are difficult to obtain (Blomberg and Montagna 2014). 102 Likewise, we recorded species of Micropogonias furnieri and Citharichthys spilopterus that did not 103 reach sexual maturity and were captured by shrimp trawls of the same dimensions as the fishing 104 boats, so it is possible that both species are showing a decrease in their populations.

105 The slope (b) that was estimated in this study was between the expected range of 2.5 to 3.5 (Freese 106 2006), except for five species, C. braueri, C. alba, Chloroscombrus chrysurus and Dibranchus 107 atlanticus that were found below the value (1.77-2.43), and Malacocephalus occidentalis which is 108 above those values (3.64). However, this may be due to the low number of specimens analyzed for 109 some of these species (Carlander 1997) or can be attributed to the combination of one or more of 110 the following factors: habitat, area/season effect, gonad maturity stages, sex, stomach fullness, 111 health condition, population and differences within species and preservation techniques (Tesch 112 1971; Froese 2006; Bautista-Romero et al. 2012). Finally, a total of 17 and 22 species showed a 113 positive and a negative allometric grow, respectively. However, the information presented with the 114 species Cyclothone braueri, Chloroscombrus chrysurus and Trachurus lathami, should be taken 115 with caution due to the reduced number of organisms and their high values of the "a" intercept.

116

117 Funding

118 This research was funded by the Mexican National Council for Science and Technology - Mexican

119 Ministry of Energy - Hydrocarbon Fund, project 201441

- 120
- 121

122	Conflict of Interest
123	The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the
124	collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to
125	publish the results.
126	
127	Acknowledgments
128	This is a contribution from the Gulf of México research Consortium (CIGOM). We are
129	grateful to Alex Acosta, María Blanqueto, Sergio Zavala and Mariana Uribe for processing the
130	samples.
131	
132	Technical Statements
133	The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships
134	that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper
135	
136	
137	Author contribution
138	We use a Contributor roles Taxonomy by Credit, using the following 14 roles:
139	
140	Ariel Adriano Chi Espinola: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology,
141	Visualization, Writing - original draft preparation, Writing - review and editing
142	María Eugenia Vega Cendejas: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project
143	administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft
144	preparation, Writing - review and editing
145	Jovita Mirella Hernández de Santillana: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis,
146	Methodology, Visualization, Writing - original draft preparation
147	
148	
149	
150	

151 **References**

152

- Blomberg BN, Montagna PA (2014) Meta-analysis of Ecopath models reveals secondary
 productivity patterns across the Gulf of Mexico. Ocean & Coastal Management, 100, 32–
 40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2014.07.014
- Bautista-Romero S, González-Peláez S, Campos-Dávila L, Lluch-Cota DB (2012) Length-weight
 relationships of wild fish captured at the mouth of Río Verde, Oaxaca. Mexico and
 connected lagoons (Miniyua, El Espejo, Chacahua and Patoría). Journal of Applied
 Ichthyology, 28, 269-271. https://doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0426.2011.01914.x
- 160 Carlander KD (1997) Handbook of freshwater fishery biology, Vol. 2. Life history data on
 161 Centrarchid fishes of the United States and Canada, The Iowa State University Press.
- 162 Carpenter KE (2002) The Living Marine Resources of the Western Central Atlantic: volume 3 163 Bony fishes part 2 -(Opistognathidae to Molidae), sea turtles and marine mammals. FAO,
 164 Rome
- 165 Carpenter KE, Munroe T, Robertson R (2015) *Citharichthys spilopterus*. The IUCN Red List of
 166 Threatened Species 2015: e.T16439236A16509962.
 167 https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T16439236A16509962.en.
- 168 Chávez-lópez R, Morán-Silva A (2019) Revisión de la composición de especies de peces
 169 capturadas incidentalmente en la pesquería de camarón en el Golfo de México. Revista
 170 Ciencia Pesquera, 27, 65–82.
- 171 Collette B, Grubbs D., Pezold F, Simons J, Caruso J, Carlson J, McEachran JD, Brenner J,
 172 Tornabene L, Chakrabarty P, Robertson R (2015) *Prionotus longispinosus*, *Prionotus paralatus*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: e.T196695A2474787.
 174 https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T196695A2474787.en.
- Danovaro J, Aguzzi J, Fanelli E, Billett D, Gjerde K, Jamieson A, Ramirez-Llodra A, Smith CR,
 Snelgrove PVR, Thomsen L, Van Dover CL (2017) An ecosystem- based deep-ocean
 strategy. Science, 355, 452-454. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.aah7178.
- Dawson CE (1965). Length-Weight Relationships of Some Gulf of Mexico Fishes. Transactions of
 the American Society, 94, 279–280. https://doi.org/10.1577/15488659(1965)94[279:LROSGO]2.0.CO;2
- 181 Diamond SL (2004) Bycatch quotas in the Gulf of Mexico shrimp trawl fishery: Can they work?

182	Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 14, 207-237. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-004-									
183	7121-0									
184	Fisher CR, Montagna PA, Sutton TT (2016) How did the Deepwater Horizon oil spill impact deep-									
185	sea ecosystems? Oceanography, 29, 182-195. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2016.82									
186	Froese R (2006). Cube law, condition factor and weight-length relationships: History, meta-									
187	analysis and recommendations. Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 22, 241-253.									
188	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2006.00805.x									
189	Froese R, Pauly D (2022) FishBase. https://www.fishbase.se/search.php [accessed October, 2022]									
190	Froese R, Tsikliras AC, Stergiou KI (2011) Editorial note on weight-length relations of fishes.									
191	Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria, 41, 261-263. https://doi:10.3750/aip2011.41.4.01									
192	Galindo-Cortés G, Meiners C, Jiménez-Badillo L (2015) Length-weight relationships for 30 fish									
193	species caught in coastal waters of Veracruz, western Gulf of Mexico. Revista de Biología									
194	Marina y Oceanografía, 50, 141-147. https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-19572015000100012									
195	Harold A (2015) Cyclothone braueri. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015:									
196	e.T198757A42691694. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-									
197	4.RLTS.T198757A42691694.en.									
198	Heath MR, Cook RM, Cameron AI, Morris DJ, Speirs DC (2014) Cascading ecological effects of									
199	eliminating fishery discards. Nature Communications 5, 3893.									
200	https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4893									
201	Hernández-Padilla JC, Capetillo-Piñar N, Aranceta-Garza F, Yee-Duarte JA, Vélez-Arellano N,									
202	Velázquez-Abunader I (2020) Length-weight relationships of 12 marine fish species from									
203	the Pacific coast of Guatemala associated with small-scale fisheries. Journal of Applied									
204	Ichthyology, 14, 863-865. https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.14093									
205	Hoese HD, Moore RH (1998) Fishes of the Gulf of Mexico, Texas, Louisiana, and Adjacent									
206	Waters. Texas A. & M. University Press.									
207	Kulaw DH, Cowan JH Jr, Jackson MW (2017) Temporal and spatial comparisons of the									
208	reproductive biology of northern Gulf of Mexico (USA) red snapper (Lutjanus									
209	campechanus) collected a decade apart. PLoS ONE, 12, e0172360.									
210	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0172360.									
211	Marks AD (2016) Reproductive ecology of Dragonfishes (Family: Stomiidae) in the Gulf of									
212	Mexico. Frontiers in Marine Science, 7, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00101									

- Matlock GC, Nelson WR, Jones RS, Green AW (1988) Length-Length and Weight-Length
 Relationships of Seven Deep-Water Fishes in the Gulf of Mexico, MSI Technical Reports,
 Texas.
- McEachran JD, Fechhelm JD (1998) Fishes of the Gulf of Mexico, Volumen 1: Myxiniformes to
 Gasterosteiformes. University of Texas Press. Texas.
- McEachran JD, Fechhelm JD (2005) Fishes of the Gulf of Mexico, Volume 2: Scorpaeniformes to
 Tetraodontiformes. University of Texas Press. Texas.
- McEachran JD, Polanco-Fernandez A, Russell B (2015a) *Merluccius albidus, Fowlerichthys radiosus.* The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: e.T16466377A16509742.
 https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-4.RLTS.T16466377A16509742.en.
- 223McEachran JD, Polanco-Fernandez A, Russell B (2015b) Fowlerichthys radiosus. The IUCN Red224ListofThreatenedSpecies2015:
- 225 e.T16467178A16510067. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-
- 4.RLTS.T16467178A16510067.en.
- Nelson JS, Grande T, Wilson MVH (2016) Fishes of the World. John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New
 York.
- Olivar MP, Hulley PA, Castellón A, Emelianov M, López C, Tuset VM, Contreras T, Molí B
 (2017) Mesopelagic fishes across the tropical and equatorial Atlantic: Biogeographical and
 vertical patterns. Progress in Oceanography, 151, 116-137.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2016.12.001
- Onghia GD', Sion L, Maiorano P, Mytilineou Ch, Dalessandro S. Carlucci R, Desantis S (2006)
 Population biology and life strategies of *Chlorophthalmus agassizii* Bonaparte, 1840
 (Pisces: Osteichthyes) in the Mediterranean Sea. Marine Biology, 149, 435-446.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-005-0231-y
- Paramo J, Motta J, De La Hoz J (2017a) Population structure of grenadier fish *Coelorinchus caelorhincus* in deep waters of the Colombian Caribbean Coast. Boletín de Investigaciones
 Marinas y Costeras, 46, 1-19. ISSN 0122-9761.
- Paramo J, Fuentes D, Wiff W (2017b) Population structure and distribution of deep-water cardinal
 fish *Epigonus occidentalis* (Epigonidae) and *Epigonus pandionis* (Epigonidae) in the
 Colombian Caribbean Sea. Journal of Ichthyology, 57, 424-433.
 https://doi.org/10.1134/S0032945217030109

244 Patiño-Ruiz J, Rodríguez-Uribe MA, Hernández-Flores ER, Lara-Rodriguez J, Gómez-González 245 AR (2003) El cinturón Plegado Perdido Mexicano. Estructura y Potencial Petrolero. 246 Boletín de la Asociación Mexicana de Geólogos Petroleros. 247 Ramírez JM, Vázquez-Bader AR, Gracia A (2019) Ichthyofaunal list of the continental slope of the southern 248 Gulf of Mexico. Zookeys, 846. 117-132. 249 https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.846.31944 250 Rao TR (2018) Trophic cascades. In Resonance, Vol. 23, Issue 11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12045-251 018-0730-z 252 Rees EI (1963) The Batfish, Dibranchus atlanticus Peters, on the Canadian Atlantic Slopes. 253 Journal of the Biological Board of Canada, 20, 1513-1517. https://doi.org/10.1139/f63-103 254 Robins RH (2015) Lepophidium brevibarbe. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015: 255 e.T16501868A16510077. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-256 4.RLTS.T16501868A16510077.en. 257 Sandoval-Huert ER, Madrigal-Guridi X, Domínguez-Domínguez O, Ruiz-Campos G, González-258 Acosta AF (2015) Length-weight and length-length relations for 14 fish species from the central Mexican pacific coast. Acta Ichthyologica et Piscatoria, 45, 199-201. 259 260 https://doi.org/10.3750/AIP2015.45.2.10 261 Shao K, Liu M, Jing L, Hardy G, Leis JL, Matsuura K (2014) Lagocephalus laevigatus. The IUCN 262 Red List of Threatened 2014, e.T190380A1950085. Species 263 https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2014-3.RLTS.T190380A1950085.en. 264 Singh-Renton S, Robertson R, Marechal J, Aiken K.A, Dooley J, Collette BB, Oxenford H, Pina-265 Amargos F, Kishore R (2015) Synagrops bellus. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 266 2015: https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015e.T13458323A13462780. 267 4.RLTS.T13458323A13462780.en. 268 Tesch FW (1971) Age and growth. In: Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters. 269 W. E. Ricker (Ed.). Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, pp. 93-123. 270 Vaske T, Freire AT, Bismarck OF (2009) Aspectos biológicos do peixe-olhudo-dentinho, 271 Synagrops bellus (Actinopterygii: Acropomatidae), da plataforma externa e talude superior 272 do estado de São Paulo, Brasil. Pan-American Journal of Aquatic Sciences, 4, 179-187 273 Vianna M, Acácio RG, Tomas I, Verani RR (2000) Aspects of the biology of the Atlantic 274 Midshipman, Porichthys porosissimus (Teleostei, Batrachoididae): an important by-catch

species of shrimp trawling off southern Brazil. Revista Brasileira de Oceanografía, 48, 131140. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-7739200000200004

- 277 Wakida-kusunoki A, Becerra-de la Rosa I, González-Cruz A, Amador-del Angel LE (2013)
- 278 Distribución y abundancia de la fauna acompañante del camarón en la costa de Tamaulipas.
- 279 Universidad y Ciencia, 29, 75-86.

280

Table 1. Length-weight relationships for 39 fish species caught in the Perdido Fold Belt (Northwest Gulf of México) during four oceanographic surveys carried out in two years (2016-2017) covering 20 locations using shrimp trawl and benthic sled net. n = number of individuals, SL = standard length, weight (g); equation parameters, "*a*" (intercept) and "*b*" (slope); SE = standard error of parameter *b*; 95% CI = 95 % confidence limits for both equation parameters; r^2 = coefficient of determination; Species in bold = new maximum length data greater than previously recorded (Froese & Pauly, 2022). Species collected \geq 500 m depth. Species in bold with a maximum length greater than previously recorded (Froese & Pauly, 2022). For comparison reasons, information on maximum length (Lmax) and length at first maturity (Lm) are taken from the electronic databank "FishBase", with the respective length type being indexed (TL= total length, FL= Fork length).

Family	Specie	n	SL range (cm)	Weight range (g)	Lm (cm)	Lmax (cm)	а	95% CI a	b	95% CI b	r ²
Congridae	Rhynchoconger flavus (Goode & Bean 1896)	35	14.2-42.7	4.4-133.0		150.0 _{TL}	0.0012	0.001-0.003	3.055	2.817-3.293	0.954
Clupeidae	Sardinella aurita Velenciennes 1847	51	7.0-19.3	4.1-99.3	12.0_{TL}	41.0_{TL}	0.0124	0.007-0.022	3.024	2.831-3.216	0.953
Gonostomatidae	Cyclothone alba* Brauer 1906	75	1.3-5.6	0.02-0.42	1.56sl2	2.9 _{SL}	0.0076	0.007-0.009	2.309	2.168-2.449	0.936
	Cyclothone braueri* Jespersen & Tåning, 1926	22	1.4-4.6	0.02-0.23	2.0 _{SL,2}	3.8 _{SL}	0.0149	0.013-0.018	1.772	1.641-1.904	0.975
	<i>Cyclothone pseudopallida</i> * Mukhacheva, 1964	71	1.5-4.8	0.02-0.51	1.75 _{SL,2}	4.6 _{SL}	0.0076	0.006-0.009	2.518	2.333-2.703	0.914
Sternoptychidae	Sternoptyx diaphana* Hermann, 1781	26	1.2-4.5	0.09-4.21		5.5sl	0.0503	0.041-0.062	2.892	2.671-3.114	0.968
Stomiidae	Chauliodus sloani* Bloch & Schneider, 1801	25	4.5-19.2	0.09-17.03	15.1sl,3	35.0sl	0.0012	0.001-0.002	3.181	2.919-3.442	0.965
Synodontidae	Saurida brasiliensis Norman 1935	203	3.1-9.7	0.3-8.8	8.0 _{SL,1}	25.0 _{TL}	0.0171	0.015-0.020	2.708	2.632-2.783	0.961
Chlorophthalmidae	Chlorophthalmus agassizi*Bonaparte 1840	74	11.4-19.5	13.7-100.0	11.5 _{TL,4}	40.0TL	0.0038	0.002-0.006	3.401	3.222-3.579	0.952
Macrouridae	Coelorinchus caelorhincus*(Risso 1810	27	13.0-30.0	5.2-112.0	17.2 _{TL,5}	48.0 _{TL}	0.0006	0.0003-0.0013	3.509	3.271-3.749	0.973
	Malacocephalus occidentalis* Goode & Bean, 1885	15	27.0-38.5	49.3-162.8		45.0 _{TL}	0.0003	0.00002-0.003	3.648	2.936-4.359	0.904
Moridae	Laemonema goodebeanorum* Meléndez C. & Markle, 1997	15	7.5-27.3	2.4-191.5		30.3 _{SL}	0.0023	0.001-0.004	3.379	3.104-3.655	0.982
Merlucciidae	Merluccius albidus* (Mitchill, 1818)	40	27.3-40.9	212.8-699.7	23.0sl,6	70.0 _{TL,6}	0.0373	0.022-0.064	2.627	2.471-2.782	0.968
	Urophycis cirrata (Goode & Bean, 1896)	23	20.4-43.5	86.4-770.7		66.0 _{TL}	0.0162	0.008-0.033	2.864	2.659-3.069	0.976
Ophidiidae	Lepophidium brevibarbe (Cuvier, 1829)	26	11.3-28.8	4.6-117.1	10.1 _{TL,7}	27.3sl	0.0017	0.001-0.003	3.313	3.151-3.475	0.987
Batrachoididae	Porichthys plectrodon Jordan & Gilbert, 1882	217	4.2-18.3	1.2-93.3	8.0 _{FL,8}	29.0 _{TL}	0.0182	0.0150.022	2.856	2.771-2.941	0.953
Carangidae	Chloroscombrus chrysurus (Linnaeus, 1766)	40	11.6-16.3	31.5-68.4	11.2 _{FL}	65.0_{TL}	0.1047	0.073-0.150	2.324	2.184-2.464	0.967

	Trachurus lathami Nichols, 1920	32	10.4-17.9	18.8-77.6	11.4_{TL}	40.0_{TL}	0.0443	0.026-0.076	2.598	2.394-2.802	0.957
Paralichthyidae	Citharichthys spilopterus Günther, 1862	70	6.4-11.9	5.2-27.8	12.0sl,9	21.0 _{TL}	0.0283	0.021-0.038	2.763	2.632-2.894	0.963
	Cyclopsetta chittendeni Bean 1895	231	4.5-28.8	1.2-371.3	14.5 _{TL,9}	33.0 _{TL,9}	0.0119	0.009-0.014	3.081	3.012-3.148	0.972
Bothidae	Monolene sessilicauda Goode 1880	36	4.9-11.8	1.1-9.6		18.0 _{TL}	0.0095	0.006-0.014	2.858	2.667-3.048	0.964
	<i>Trichopsetta ventralis</i> (Goode & Bean, 1885)	873	3.6-18.0	0.5-59.6		20.0_{TL}	0.0109	0.010-0.012	3.092	3.045-3.139	0.950
Cynoglossidae	Symphurus diomedeanus (Goode & Bean, 1885)	21	5.0-14.7	0.9-31.0		22.0 _{TL}	0.0067	0.004-0.012	3.169	2.927-3.411	0.975
Trichiuridae	Trichiurus lepturus Linnaeus, 1758	17	7.4-65.3	0.1-103.3	30.0 _{TL}	234.0 _{TL}	0.0001	0.0001-0.0002	3.357	3.198-3.515	0.993
Percophidae	Bembrops gobioides* (Goode, 1880)	21	8.8-23.4	3.9-82.6		30.0 _{TL}	0.0039	0.002-0.008	3.203	2.934-3.471	0.970
Synagropidae	Synagrops bellus (Goode & Bean, 1896)	20	6.3-20.7	4.6-166.6	13.0 _{TL,13}	46.0 _{TL,14}	0.0174	0.010-0.031	3.029	2.813-3.243	0.979
Epigonidae	Epigonus pandionis* (Goode & Bean, 1881)	56	9.8-20.2	22.8-154.2	11.2 _{TL,15}	23.5 _{TL}	0.0358	0.022-0.058	2.809	2.633-2.984	0.950
Serranidae	<i>Centropristis philadelphica</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	42	9.7-23.5	23.2-289.3		30.0 _{TL}	0.0323	0.020-0.053	2.862	2.676-3.047	0.960
Lutjanidae	Lutjanus campechanus (Poey, 1860)	35	8.0-24.7	12.7-467.2	9.41 _{FL,11}	100.0 _{TL}	0.0237	0.013-0.042	3.032	2.806-3.258	0.958
	Pristipomoides aquilonaris (Goode & Bean, 1896)	477	3.3-20.0	1.0-197.2		56.0 _{TL}	0.0350	0.224-0.315	2.873	2.097-2.236	0.973
Triglidae	Prionotus longispinosus Teague, 1951	183	3.9-24.7	1.3-307.6	12.0 _{TL,16}	35.0 _{TL}	0.0397	0.030-0.053	2.771	2.660-2.881	0.931
	Prionotus paralatus Ginsburg, 1950	180	7.8-17.5	7.5-85.2	10.0 _{TL,16}	18.0 _{SL,16}	0.0142	0.011-0.018	3.056	2.959-3.153	0.956
Peristediidae	Peristedion greyae Miller 1967	123	12.8-18.4	11.9-33.4		23.9 _{TL}	0.0110	0.007017	2.738	2.580-2.895	0.907
Sciaenidae	Micropogonias furnieri (Desmarest, 1823)	26	12.0-20.2	40.4-155.5	24.3 _{TL}	60.0 _{SL}	0.0643	0.035-0.118	2.594	2.368-2.821	0.959
Antennariidae	Fowlerichthys radiosus (Garman, 1896)	47	2.6-9.4	1.5-57.2		25.0_{TL10}	0.1357	0.105-0.176	2.578	2.411-2.744	0.956
Ogcocephalidae	Dibranchus atlanticus Peters, 1876	178	3.4-10.8	1.5-25.7	10.9 _{TL,17}	39.4 _{TL}	0.0696	0.059-0.083	2.434	2.351-2.517	0.957
	Ogcocephalus declivirostris Bradbury, 1980	23	6.1-10.3	6.8-37.5		16.5_{TL}	0.0304	0.019-0.048	3.027	2.805-3.248	0.975
	Zalieutes mcgintyi (Fowler, 1952)	17	3.3-7.3	1.4-10.5		10.0_{TL}	0.0579	0.039-0.087	2.634	2.415-2.853	0.978
Tetraodontidae	Lagocephalus laevigatus (Linnaeus, 1766)	30	3.9-36.0	4.2-1050.3.3	24.5sl,12	100.0_{TL}	0.0601	0.040-0.090	2.672	2.512-2.833	0.976

Sub-index references: 1- McEachran & Fechhelm (1998), 2- Harold (2015), 3- Marks (2016), 4- Onghia et al. (2006), 5- Paramo et al. (2017a), 6- McEachran et al. (2015a), 7- Robins (2015), 8- Vianna et al. (2000), 9- Carpenter (2015), 10- McEachran et al. (2015b), 11- Kulaw et al. (2017), 12- Shao et al. (2014), 13- Vaske et al. (2009), 14- Singh-Renton et al. (2015), 15- Paramo et al. (2017b), 16- Collette et al. (2015), 17- Rees (1963).