

PREPRINT

Author-formatted, not peer-reviewed document posted on 21/06/2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e108136

Predicting the expansion of invasive species: how much data do we need?

🗅 Joana Santana, Neftalí Sillero, Joana Ribeiro, 应 Cesar Capinha, ᅝ Ricardo Lopes, 🗅 Luís Reino

Predicting the expansion of invasive species: how much data do we need? Joana Santana^{1,2,3}, Neftalí Sillero⁴, Joana Ribeiro^{1,2,3}, César Capinha^{5,6}, Ricardo

Jorge Lopes^{1,3,7}, Luís Reino^{1,2,3}

- 4
- 5

6 1 CIBIO. Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos. InBIO 7 Laboratório Associado, Campus de Vairão, Universidade do Porto, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal 2 CIBIO. Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos. 8 InBIO Laboratório Associado, Instituto Superior de Agronomia, Universidade de 9 10 Lisboa, Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisboa, Portugal 3 BIOPOLIS Program in Genomics, Biodiversity and Land Planning, CIBIO, Campus de Vairão, 4485-661 11 12 Vairão, Portugal 4 CICGE-Centro de Investigação em Ciências Geo-Espaciais, Spatial Biology Lab, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, 4430-146 Vila Nova 13 de Gaia. Portugal 5 Centro de Estudos Geográficos. Instituto de Geografia e 14 15 Ordenamento do Território, Universidade de Lisboa, Rua Branca Edmée Margues, Lisboa, Portugal 6 Laboratório Associado Terra, Lisboa, Portugal 7 MHNC-UP, 16 Natural History and Science Museum of the University of Porto, 4099-002 Porto, 17 Portugal 18

19

20 Corresponding author: Joana Santana (joanafsantana@cibio.up.pt)

21

22 Abstract

Ecological niche models (ENMs) are a powerful tool to predict the spread of invasivealien species (IAS) and support the implementation of actions aiming to reduce the

25 impact of biological invasions. While calibrating ENMs with distribution data from 26 species' native ranges can underestimate the invasion potential due to possible niche shifts, using distribution data combining species' native and invasive ranges may 27 28 overestimate the invasion potential due to a reduced fitness and environmental tolerance of species in invaded ranges. An alternative may be using the increasingly 29 available distribution data of IAS as they spread their invaded ranges, to iteratively 30 31 forecast invasions as they unfold. However, while this approach accounts for possible niche shifts, it may also underestimate the species' potential range, particularly at the 32 33 early stages of the invasion when the most suitable conditions may not yet be represented in the distribution range data set. Here, we evaluate the capacity of ENMs 34 to forecast the distribution of IAS based on distribution data on invaded ranges as 35 36 these data become available. We further use dispersion models to assess the expansion process using the predicted potential distributions. Specifically, we used 37 the common waxbill (Estrilda astrild) in the Iberia Peninsula as a model system, 38 39 building ENMs with distribution records for each decade from 1960 to 2019 and yearly bioclimatic variables, to forecast the species potential range in the coming decades. 40 Then, we analysed the performance of the models for each decade in forecasting the 41 42 species observed range expansion in the following decades and evaluated how the number of distribution records determined the quality of the forecasts. Finally, we 43 44 performed dispersal estimates (based on species traits, topography, climate and land cover) to analyse the prediction capacity of models as their uncertainty may be 45 reduced when projecting them to the next decades. Our results show that invasion-46 47 only ENMs successfully forecasted the species' range expansion over three decades after invasion, while dispersion models were not important in forecasting common 48 waxbill expansion. Our study highlights the importance of constantly monitoring alien 49

species, suggesting that iterative updating of ENMs with observed distribution data
may accurately forecast the range expansion of alien species.

52

53 Keywords

alien species, common waxbill, dispersal analyses, ecological niche models, *Estrilda astrild*, forecasts

56

57 Introduction

Biological invasions are among the most worrisome environmental problems of 58 modern times (Díaz et al. 2019). The spread of invasive alien species (IAS) across the 59 globe has been responsible for population declines of native species, changes in 60 61 community composition (Bellar et al. 2016, 2021), alterations of ecosystem processes and functioning (Ehrenfeld 2010), disruptions of socio-economic activities (Diagne et 62 al. 2021) and public health concerns (e.g. Naeem et al. 2009; Fournier et al. 2019; 63 Ogden et al. 2019). In a globalised world, the number of IAS is expected to increase 64 (Seebens et al. 2021) as well as their potential impacts (Fournier et al. 2019; Essl et 65 66 al. 2020), promoted by increasing international wildlife trade and global changes (Scheffers et al. 2019; Naimi et al. 2022). As a response to this urgency, several 67 international regulations and mechanisms have been implemented in the last decades 68 aiming at preventing the introduction and spread of IAS. This includes the 69 70 establishment of a legal framework with specific legislation, as the EU Regulation 71 1143/2014 on IAS (Regulation EU 2014). However, the successful implementation of 72 these mechanisms requires the anticipation of new invasion areas which have been hampered by the lack of monitoring data on species distributions at adequate spatial 73 74 and temporal resolutions. There is thus a need for a continuing effort to develop

approaches, which may include ecological modelling tools, to accurately predict IAS
 expansion, in order to reduce both ecological and socio-economic impacts of IAS.

77

78 Modelling and projecting the realised niche of IAS in the geographical space allows 79 for identifying the areas at risk of invasion (Jiménez-Valverde et al. 2011; Guisan et al. 2014). The realised niche is part of the fundamental niche, i.e. the abiotic 80 81 environmental space where a species can maintain a viable population and persist over time without immigration, which is then further limited by biotic interactions, 82 83 dispersal capacity, or historical aspects (Soberón & Peterson 2005). This assessment is often done through correlative ecological niche modelling (ENM) (Peterson and 84 Vieglais 2001; Jiménez-Valverde et al. 2008; Jeschke and Strayer 2008; Capinha and 85 86 Anastácio 2011; Venette 2015; Sillero et al. 2021), which quantify speciesenvironment relationships based on observed patterns of species distributions and 87 environmental predictors (Franklin 2010; Peterson et al. 2011; Guisan et al. 2019; 88 89 Sillero et al 2021). A procedure of key practical importance concerns the geographical areas used to calibrate the ENMs. For IAS, these models can be calibrated using 90 distribution data from the species' native range (Peterson et al. 2003), thus assuming 91 that the native species distribution represents the entire suite of suitable environments 92 (i.e., distributional equilibrium; Guisan and Zimmermann 2000; Araújo and Pearson 93 94 2005; Araújo et al. 2005), or at least, all suitable habitats where the species is able to disperse (i.e. pseudo-equilibrium; Anderson and Raza 2010; Sillero et al. 2021). 95 However, species' realised niches may shift in new areas or time periods (i.e. niche 96 97 shift sensu Guisan et al. 2014), which implies that IAS will not be necessarily circumscribed to areas that are environmental analogues to their native ranges 98 (Peterson 2003; Jeschke and Strayer 2008; Elith and Leathwick 2009). This is 99

100 because, when the environmental conditions change, or the species arrives in a new 101 area, the drivers limiting the species' realised niche can change (e.g. the new area 102 lacks a competing species or the species can now disperse to new habitats), enabling 103 the exploration of new areas inside its fundamental niche (Sillero et al. 2022). Some 104 IAS have shown marked climatic niche shifts during invasion (i.e., a divergence between climatic conditions in native and alien ranges; sensu Broennimann et al. 105 106 2009), likely driven by adaptive changes enabling species to endure conditions that were previously unsuitable (Blossey and Notzold et al. 1995), i.e., shift in its realised 107 108 climatic niche (Sillero et al 2022).

109

110 Considering the potential for realised niche shifts, previous works have recommended 111 calibrating ENMs using distribution data of IAS in both native and invasive ranges 112 (Fitzpatrick et al. 2006; Broennimann 2007; Broennimann and Guisan 2007; Urban et 113 al. 2007; Beaumont et al. 2009; Pili et al. 2020). While this approach potentially 114 captures niche shifts as they emerge in invaded areas, the combination of native and invasive distribution data also raises relevant practical and conceptual issues. The 115 existence of higher quality distribution data for the species in one range versus the 116 117 other (Vanette et al. 2010), may require the reduction of the spatial resolution leading to information loss when merging both dataframes (Jarnevich et al. 2022). Although 118 119 spatial downscaling can be employed to enable modeling at a coarse resolution and 120 projection onto the Schuyler area at a higher resolution, this approach introduces 121 uncertainty due to assumptions regarding the consistent relationships between coarse 122 and fine-resolution data within the area, on the employed methods (Key et al. 2012). On the other hand, and perhaps more importantly, the use of native distribution data 123 124 may overestimate invasion ranges, as there are circumstances where invasive species

may not be able to colonise similar environmental conditions to their native areas, due to the presence of novel negative interspecific interactions (e.g., predators, parasites, competitors) (Sih et al. 2010; Dostal et al. 2013; Carthley and Banks 2018), genetic bottlenecks and founder effects, driving a reduction in the species environmental tolerances, and species dispersal capacity, that cannot be included directly in the native model, which will provide the maximum extent of the species distribution in the invasive range if the environmental conditions are the same (Jarnevich et al. 2022).

132

133 Invasion monitoring efforts are producing high-quality spatiotemporal data of spread 134 for a large number of IAS in invaded ranges (e.g. Groom et al. 2019, GBIF - the Global Biodiversity Information Facility, https://www.gbif.org/). Hence, given the impossibility 135 136 of reconstructing the invasive process over time, an alternative is to use 137 spatiotemporal invasion data to iteratively forecast invasions as they unfold. By restricting the calibration of ENMs to the region being invaded, the issues raised by 138 139 using native distribution data are overcome. However, any approach relying only on invasive distribution data for calibrating ENMs must acknowledge the likely 140 underestimation of species' potential ranges, particularly at early stages of invasion, 141 142 when most suitable conditions may not yet be represented in the distribution range data set. In this context, it is pivotal to clarify the data requirements ensuring accurate 143 144 ENM for IAS, and particularly, the extent to which invasion-only distribution data can be used to accurately predict the expansion of IAS. Modelling the invasive over time 145 will provide information about the routes used by the species during the expansion 146 147 process.

148

149 Here we evaluate the capacity of iterative calibration of ENM models based on 150 invasion-only distribution data for predicting the invasion potential and analysing the 151 expansion process of IAS. We specifically address how much data do we need to 152 predict IAS expansion range (i.e. the length of the time series of the species distribution data in the invaded range since establishment) required to provide an 153 informative prediction of the species' invasion potential. We also assessed the 154 155 importance of accounting for the dispersal capacity of species to predict its expansion. For this, we considered one of the most studied alien bird species, established in 156 157 different environments and biogeographic regions worldwide: the afro-tropical 158 common waxbill (*Estrilda astrild*). Using a unique high-quality database on spatial 159 dispersion of this species through the Iberian Peninsula over six decades (Reino and 160 Silva 1998; Silva et al. 2002; Reino 2005; Sullivan et al. 2012), we applied a 161 backcasting approach, fitting each ENM using distribution data available until the end of each decade and using the resulting model to project the distribution for the next 162 163 decade. Then, we analysed how the number of observation records used in each ENM 164 related to the performance of the forecasts of species dispersal over time. Finally, because ENMs do not account for species' dispersal per se (Sillero et al. 2021; Sillero 165 et al. 2022), we also implemented a species dispersal model over time considering a 166 comprehensive set of species traits and climatic and landscape variables (Engler et 167 al. 2012). We discuss these results in light of the amount of distribution data (i.e. length 168 169 of the time series since establishment) needed for invasion-only ENMs and dispersal analyses to predict the invasion potential of IAS and iteratively forecast future 170 171 invasions.

172

173

= ARPHA Preprints Author-formatted, not peer-reviewed document posted on 21/06/2023 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.e108136

174 Material and Methods

175 Study area

The Iberian Peninsula (southwestern Europe), covers an area of 582,860 km2 and mainly includes the continental territories of Spain and Portugal (**Fig. 1**). It is bordered to the southeast and east by the Mediterranean Sea and to the south, north and west by the Atlantic Ocean, and is separated from the rest of Europe by the Pyrenees in the northeast. The Peninsula has a high diversity of climatic conditions, influenced by both the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea, with a longitudinal gradient of precipitation and a latitudinal gradient of precipitation and temperature (Capel 1981).

183

184 **Common waxbill distribution data**

185 We gathered historical data on the common waxbill expansion in the Iberia Peninsula since its first introduction in the 1960s. For this, we obtained presence data of the 186 species in the continental territories from Sullivan et al. (2012), including the national 187 188 and regional breeding bird atlases from Portugal and Spain, updated with all-yearround information from the eBird database (eBird 2019). Following data compilation, 189 we mapped all records into a 10x10 UTM km grid of Portugal and Spain, keeping only 190 191 the oldest record for each cell (Fig. 1, Suppl. material 1). We then aggregated the data by decade, ranging from the first reported record to the present time. Therefore, 192 193 we represented the species expansion along six decades: 1960-1969 (8 cells), 1970-1979 (47 cells), 1980-1989 (97 cells), 1990-1999 (157 cells), 2000-2010 (369 cells) 194 195 and 2011-2019 (330 cells) (Fig. 1).



196

Figure 1. Location of the study area in the Iberia Peninsula, southwest Europe (upper panel) and distribution of the common waxbill *Estrilda astrild* from 1960 to 2019 (bottom panel). Black dots: point presences. Circles with dots: points of introduction.

202 Environmental data

We obtained yearly climate data for the temporal period covered by the distribution 203 204 data from the EuMedClim Database (http://gentree.data.inra.fr/climate/; Fréjaville and Garzón 2018). EuMedClim provides yearly climate data between 1901–2014 at 1 km 205 206 resolution for Europe and the Mediterranean Basin. These data comprise 21 variables: 207 seven bioclimatic variables available from Worldclim (https://www.worldclim.org/; bio1 - annual mean temperature; bio2 - mean diurnal temperature range; bio5 - Maximal 208 temperature of the warmest month; bio6 - minimal temperature of the coldest month; 209 210 bio12 - annual precipitation; bio13 - precipitation of the wettest month; bio14 precipitation of the driest month); and 14 variables derived from monthly temperature 211 212 and precipitation data from WorldClim: seasonal temperature and precipitation (winter, 213 spring, summer, and autumn), potential evapotranspiration (PET, annual, minimal 214 monthly, and maximal monthly) and water balance (precipitation minus PET). From 215 these variables, to minimize cross-correlation between variables, we kept four 216 variables that had an absolute value of Pearson correlation coefficient < 0.7 (Fig. 2): mean diurnal temperature range (bio2), minimal temperature of the coldest month 217 218 (bio6), precipitation of the wettest month (bio13) and precipitation of the driest month (bio14). 219

220

221 Statistical analysis

222 Ecological Niche Models

We estimated the realised niche of the species (*sensu* Sillero 2011) for each decade represented in our data (1960-1969, 1970-1979, 1980-1989, 1990-1999, 2000-2010 and 2011-2019). For that purpose, we fitted a model using species distribution data from that specific decade only, i.e., not cumulatively (**Table 1**). Then, we projected the realised niche models for each decade to the following decades (**Table 1**). For example, we used the model calibrated with data from the first decade (1960-1969) to project the species' potential distribution in each of the next decades, i.e., 1970-1979, 1980-1989, 1990-1999, 2000-2010 and 2011-2019.

231



Figure 2. Bioclimatic variables (mean diurnal temperature range, °C [bio2], minimal temperature of the coldest month, °C [bio6], precipitation of the wettest month, mm [bio13] and precipitation of the driest month, mm [bio14]) considered in Maxent to estimate the ecological niche models. Temperature variables are multiplied by 10 to avoid decimals.

- 239
- 240
- 241
- 242

Table 1. Matrix of realised niche models (blue cells) and respective projections (yellow
 cells). Each row includes the model of that decade (M#), and all projections for the next
 decades (P#).

246

	1960-69	1970-79	1980-89	1990-99	2000-01	2010-19
1960-69	M1960-69	P ₁₉₇₀₋₇₉	P ₁₉₈₀₋₈₉	P ₁₉₉₀₋₉₉	P2000-01	P ₂₀₁₀₋₁₉
1970-79		M1970-79	P ₁₉₈₀₋₈₉	P ₁₉₉₀₋₉₉	P2000-01	P ₂₀₁₀₋₁₉
1980-89			M ₁₉₈₀₋₈₉	P ₁₉₉₀₋₉₉	P ₂₀₀₀₋₀₁	P ₂₀₁₀₋₁₉
1990-99				M ₁₉₉₀₋₉₉	P ₂₀₀₀₋₀₁	P ₂₀₁₀₋₁₉
2000-01					M2000-01	P ₂₀₁₀₋₁₉
2010-19						M2010-19

247

248 We calculated realised niche models using Maxent v.3.4.4 (Phillips et al. 2006, 2017) 249 following standard procedures (Sillero et al. 2021, Sillero & Barbosa 2021). Maxent 250 uses presence/background data as dependent variables, where the background data represent the spectrum of environmental conditions available to the species (Phillips 251 252 et al. 2009; Guillera-Arroita et al. 2014). Maxent output represents habitat suitability, ranging from 0.0 (not suitable) to 1.0 (suitable), in Cloglog format (Phillips et al. 2017). 253 Because Maxent includes stochasticity in the training data random selection, different 254 model runs can lead to slightly different outcomes (Phillips et al. 2006, 2017). For that 255 256 reason, we here used the average of 10 distinct modelling events in order to obtain 257 final suitability values for each decade, randomly selecting 70% of the occurrence 258 records as training data and 30% as test data. We calculated the Maxent models with auto features, where different distribution functions are used depending on the sample 259 260 size (Phillips et al. 2006, 2017).

261

We measured model discrimination performance with the area under the curve (AUC) of the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) plots (Liu et al. 2005). The AUC

discriminates a species' model from a random model, with a value equal to or close to 264 0.5 corresponding to an accuracy similar to that of a random model and a value of 1 265 corresponding to a perfect discrimination accuracy. Additionally, we calculated a set 266 267 of null models following the methodology by Raes and ter Steege (2007). For this, we generated 100 different datasets with the same number of random points as each 268 dataset following a Poisson distribution. We calculated a Maxent model for each of 269 270 these random datasets and obtained the AUC values of the ROC plots. Then, we compared the training AUC values of the species models with the ones calculated for 271 272 the null models using the non-parametric Wilcoxon test. We calculated the null models 273 in R 3.4.4 (R Core Team 2020) with the 'dismo' package (Hijmans et al. 2017).

274

275 We determined the contribution of each climatic variable in explaining the species' distribution using a jackknife resampling based on: (1) values of the training and test 276 gain; and (2) of AUC values. The jackknife resampling comprises two steps: (1) the 277 278 generation of a model with all climatic variables except one; and (2) the generation of univariate models, each using only one climatic variable. In each step, the jackknife 279 analysis measures the change in training and test gain, and the AUC determines the 280 importance of each variable. Using the results from each of these procedures, Maxent 281 calculated an average percentage contribution of each climatic variable. We also 282 calculated the permutation importance: for each environmental variable in turn, the 283 values of that variable on training presence and background data are randomly 284 permuted. The model is re-evaluated on the permuted data, and the resulting drop in 285 286 training AUC is calculated, normalised to percentages (Phillips et al. 2006). When variables interact, the variable contributions and the permutation importances are not 287 equally ordered, preventing individual responses for each variable. 288

289 Ecological Niche Models validation over time

We further validated the ENMs for each decade and their respective projections by counting the number of presences classified as presences or as absences. We used the presence records of: 1) each decade; 2) each decade and previous decades, i.e., cumulatively; and 3) the last decade (2010-19). In each case, we calculated the percentage of presences correctly identified as presences reflecting the model's capacity to produce accurate forecasts. In the last case, we evaluated the capacity of previous models to predict the final model (2010-19).

297

298 Dispersal analyses

299 Accounting for dispersal barriers/capacity has been pointed out as important to reduce 300 uncertainty in future projections of species distribution (Engler et al. 2012). We 301 estimated dispersal movements over time with R package 'MigClim' (Engler et al. 302 2012), a cellular automaton model that simulates the dispersal of species in the 303 landscape. MigClim uses ENMs as indicators of landscape permeability: the higher 304 the habitat suitability index, the higher the permeability. We applied MigClim to each decadal Maxent model and respective projections (Table 1). Therefore, Migclim 305 modelled how the species dispersed between Maxent models, i.e. from the first model 306 to the next projections. The MigClim model considers short-distance and long-distance 307 308 dispersal events, the type of dispersion through the landscape (using a continuous or a categorical Maxent model), propagule production probability, initial maturity age, and 309 the presence of barriers. We considered three possible scenarios: i) no dispersal 310 barriers; ii) weak barriers (i.e. barriers that can be transposed), and iii) strong barriers 311 312 (i.e. barriers that cannot be transposed).

313

314 Dispersal barriers were represented by elevation, hydrological configuration and land cover (Fig. 3). We obtained elevation data from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission 315 (Farr et al. 2007) at 90 m and aggregated to 10x10km cell resolution. Following the 316 317 results obtained in previous studies in Iberia (e.g. Silva et al. 2002), we considered elevations higher than 800 m as barriers to dispersion. We obtained land cover from 318 the Global land cover 2000 dataset with 250 m of spatial resolution from the European 319 320 Environmental Agency (https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/data/global-landcover-250m). We considered the land cover classes including tree cover as barriers 321 322 to the dispersal, as *E. astrild* is mostly associated with open habitats (Payne et al. 323 2020, Ribeiro et al. 2020) (Suppl. material 2: table S1). We also calculated the 324 average hierarchy of watercourses in each grid cell of 10x10 km. River hierarchy 325 ranged from 1 to 8, with a value of 8 corresponding to large watercourses such as 326 main rivers and 1 to small, often intermittent streams. For this variable, we considered as barriers those grid cells with a hierarchy of 1, reflecting the species' association 327 328 with permanent water courses (Ribeiro et al. 2020). The final layer of barriers 329 corresponded to the combination (multiplication) of all barrier layers, resulting in a layer classified as 0 (without dispersal barriers) and 1 (with barriers) (Fig. 3). The 330 331 parameters used in MigClim are shown in Table 2.



333

Figure 3. Variables (elevation, land cover, and river hierarchy) used to define the barriers to dispersal through the landscape, and the barriers used in MigClim to measure the dispersion across the landscape. Blue colour means barrier; red colour means no barrier.

338

339 **Results**

340 **Common waxbill expansion patterns**

The geographic distribution of the common waxbill in the Iberia Peninsula spans most of the Iberian Atlantic coast, but also through large areas in Southern and Eastern lberia extending to the Mediterranean coast, as far as Catalonia (**Fig. 1**). Although this species was initially introduced around the Lisbon region (Central Portugal), it rapidly spread in all directions. Its geographic expansion was also enhanced by more recent

and independent introductions, as in Algarve (southern Portugal), Andalucia (southern

347 Spain) and later in other regions in eastern Spain (**Fig. 1**).

Parameter	Value
Continuous mode	0
Number of environmental change steps to perform	6
Number of dispersal steps to perform within each environmental change step	10
Dispersal kernel: probability of colonising a directly adjacent cell	1
Long-distance dispersal frequency	0.0001
Minimum distance for long-distance dispersal in pixels	2
Maximum distance for long-distance dispersal in pixels	4
Initial maturity age of newly colonized cells	1
Propagule production probability as a function of cell age	1
Number of replicates	100
Barriers	No barriers Weak; Stron

Table 2. Parameters and their values introduced in dispersal models.
 348

351 The expansion process was faster in the Central and Northern regions of Portugal, 352 whereas the spread in the south seemed to have been boosted by an additional introduction event (Algarve) that enabled the colonisation of Andalucia (Fig. 1). This 353 354 event appears to underlie the colonisation of almost all Iberian southwestern coast, unifying the northern population - which had also started to colonise Alentejo 355 356 (Portugal) from the Sado valley - with the southern population (Fig. 1). Spread 357 eastwards was slower, and several areas remain uncolonized to the present, namely 358 the mountainous regions of Northern and Central Spain (Fig. 1). Spanish populations have arisen from independent introductions and the expansion of Portuguese 359 360 populations through the Tagus and Guadiana valleys in the Southern and Central regions, and directly from the extensive area bordered by the river Minho in Galicia 361 362 (Fig. 1).

363

364 **Temporal changes in ecological niche projections**

365 ENM for all decades had training and test AUC values higher than 0.8 and significantly differed from random (Table 3). For the first decade (1960-1969), the variable with 366 367 higher contribution was the mean diurnal temperature range (bio2), while the minimum temperature of the coldest month (bio6) was the variable with the higher contribution 368 for the following decades (Table 4). The permutation importance of variables 369 370 maintained the same order as the variable contributions (**Table 4**). The areas identified 371 as suitable widened over time, from the coastal areas towards the interior of the Iberian 372 Peninsula (Fig. 4). Suitable areas based on data from the first decade (1960-1969) 373 were enclosed within the vicinity of the introduction area around Lisbon (Portugal, Fig. 374 4). There was an abrupt change in the extent of suitable areas between the third and the fourth decades (1980-1989 and 1990-1999, Fig. 4, Suppl. material 2: Fig. S1). 375 376 The increment in suitable areas stabilised in the fourth decade after introduction (1990-

377 1999, Fig. 4, Suppl. material 2: Fig. S1).

Table 3. Main Maxent results per decade model: number of training records (Training
n), number of test records (Test n), mean and standard deviation of training and test
AUC from empirical models, mean and standard deviation of AUC from null models
(Null AUC), and Kruskal-Wallis test results for the comparisons of empirical and null
AUC values (χ2, degrees of freedom - DF, and *p-value*).

Decade	Training n	Test n	Training AUC	Test AUC	Null AUC	KW X ²	DF	<i>p</i> -value
1960-69	4	1	0.99±0.003	0.99±0.008	0.61±0.09	27.43	1	<0.0001
1970-79	21	8	0.99±0.002	0.99±0.005	0.65±0.03	27.03	1	<0.0001
1980-89	51	21	0.95±0.009	0.94±0.006	0.61±0.02	27.03	1	<0.0001
1990-99	102	43	0.91±0.011	0.90±0.018	0.59±0.02	27.03	1	<0.0001
2000-09	269	115	0.87±0.006	0.86±0.014	0.56±0.01	27.03	1	<0.0001
2010-19	229	98	0.86±0.010	0.84±0.014	0.56±0.01	27.03	1	<0.0001

Table 4. Contributions and permutation importance of the bioclimatic variables (mean diurnal temperature range [bio2], minimal temperature of the coldest month [bio6], precipitation of the wettest month [bio13] and precipitation of the driest month [bio14]) on the Maxent models per decade. Higher values of variable contribution and permutation importance for each decade are highlighted in **bold**.

	Variable contribution					Permutation importance			
Decade	bio2	bio6	bio13	bio14	bio2	bio6	bio13	bio14	
1960-69	50.4	20.9	0.04	28.65	40.39	3.55	0.07	55.99	
1970-79	6.62	73.16	6.58	13.64	2.79	89.82	1.89	5.5	
1980-89	5.52	79.98	8.3	6.2	5.64	85.13	5.07	4.16	
1990-99	8.51	68.19	13.6	9.7	7.85	68.84	17.04	6.26	
2000-09	4.53	66.31	20.11	9.05	5.31	59.93	25.16	9.6	
2010-19	6.18	66.42	10.46	16.94	5.68	55.01	13.38	25.93	

391

390

392 Ecological Niche Models validation

393 Validation of the ENMs of each decade projected to the remaining periods (Fig. 5a, Suppl. material 2: table S2) indicated that the number of presences correctly 394 395 classified decreased over time in each decade, i.e. the model of the first decade predicted more incorrectly the models from the furthest decades. On the other hand, 396 the number of presences correctly classified increased over time in each decade; 397 however, from the fourth decade (1990-99), this number decreased when predicting 398 399 the last decade (2010-19). These patterns were the same when considering the 400 number of cumulative presences classified as absences or presences over time (Fig. 5b, Suppl. material 2: table S3) or in relation to the last decade (2010-19) (Fig. 5c, 401 Suppl. material 2: table S4; in this case the pattern was opposite). 402

403

404 **Dispersal analyses**

The species' potential range accounting for dispersal capacity increased over time driven by the results of ENM projections. In the first decade, the range deemed susceptible to colonisation is narrow, and almost all of the Iberian Peninsula is beyond 408 reach. On the other hand, for the last decade, these areas are much wider (**Fig. 6**).
409 Similarly to what was verified for the ENM, the spatial patterns of the dispersal models
410 have remained quite stable since the third decade (1990-1999). There were some
411 differences when introducing barriers or not in the dispersal models (**Fig. 6**), but the
412 results with weak or strong barriers are very similar. Dispersal models thus confirmed
413 that the species was able to disperse over time following suitable areas identified by
414 ENM.



415

Figure 4. Ecological niche models by decade and their projections to the next decades. The maps are organised in a matrix as in **Table 1**: each decade is a row; models (light blue background) and projections (yellow background) are placed in columns. Habitat suitability ranges from blue colours (low suitability) to red colours (high suitability), following the rainbow palette.

	a)	1960-69	1970-79	1980-89	1990-99	2000-09	2010-19
	1960-69	89	89	89	100	100	44
	1970-79		98	96	88	98	<mark>50</mark>
	1980-89			94	88	84	98
	1990-99				90	90	87
	2000-09					88	88
421	2010-19						90
	b)						
	b) 1000.00		20		100	100	
	1960-69	89	89	89	100	100	44
	1960-79		95	<mark>93</mark>	82	95	<mark>50</mark>
	1960-89			93	89	85	98
	1960-99				94	94	93
	1960-09					91	90
422	1960-19						92
	c)						
	()	L	L	i.	100	100	
	1960-69	4	4	4	100	100	3
	1970-79		22	<mark>21</mark>	20	<mark>25</mark>	36
	1980-89			59	60	<mark>58</mark>	73
	1990-99				78	80	82
	2000-09					88	86
423	2010-19						92

423

424 Figure 5. Percentage number of presences correctly classified as presences in each model (blue) and projection (yellow) by decade. a) Each decade only includes data 425 from that period; b) Each decade includes the number of cumulative presences of the 426 previous decade(s); c) In relation to the last decade (2010-19, in bold). Our 427 backcasting approach showed a high forecast capacity of EMNs models since the third 428 decade after the common waxbill establishment (high % of correctly classified 429 430 presences).



Weak barriers

Strong barriers

No barriers



Figure 6. Dispersal models per decade and type of barriers (no barriers, weak barriers, and strong barriers). The colour sequence Orange -> Yellow -> Light green -> Light blue indicates the dispersal of species over time in each decade. Blue indicates areas where the species did not have time to arrive. Red indicates zones where the species cannot occur.

439 **Discussion**

440 This study evaluates the use of increasingly available spatiotemporal data of IAS spread to iteratively forecast invasions as they unfold. The backbone of these 441 forecasts were ENMs using detailed distribution data of the common waxbill expansion 442 443 through the Iberia Peninsula over six decades. Our projections based on invasionrange data were successful to forecast the species' current distribution after three 444 445 decades following its establishment. These results support the idea that ENMs can 446 successfully forecast the species' range expansion, though they may have limited 447 utility in the early stages of invasion, supporting the use of an iterative approach (Dietze et al. 2018), where models are recurrently updated with the species' most 448 recent distribution data. This implies the need to constantly monitor IAS, which despite 449 receiving long-standing support in invasion science and policy (Genovesi and Shine 450 451 2004; Büyüktahtakın and Haight 2018), depends strongly on the availability of resources (Groomet al. 2019). 452

453

454 Our results are in line with previous studies arguing ENMs may underestimate the 455 species' potential ranges (Liu et al. 2020), particularly at the early stages of the 456 invasion when the most suitable conditions may not yet be represented in the 457 distribution range data set. Our projections based on invasion-only data failed to forecast the species distribution in the first two decades after species introduction, 458 459 likely because the species range was still not representative of the species' suitable environmental conditions (Araújo & Pearson 2005). Models disregarding the species' 460 461 global distribution provide worse results than full distribution models (Barbet-Massin 462 et al. 2010; Jarnevich et al. 2022). This is because, ENM algorithms assume that the species distribution data used is a good representation of the species' environmental 463

464 requirements (Sillero et al. 2021). In other words, the algorithm assumes that the data used represents a species in equilibrium with the environment, i.e. the species 465 occupies all available suitable habitats where it can disperse (Guisan and Thuiller 466 467 2005; Anderson & Raza 2010; Sillero et al. 2021). Distributional data representing only a portion of a species' global range may fail to capture all the suitable conditions where 468 the species can thrive, potentially leading to an underestimation of its potential range. 469 470 Therefore, modeling the realized niche of an expanding alien species presents significant challenges (Ficetola et al. 2005). It is expected that the ENM of a particular 471 472 period will fail to forecast imminent range expansion stages, although this does not 473 mean that the ENM is wrong (Barbet-Massin et al. 2018). The ENM for that particular 474 period can be correct, but the ENM does not have enough information to predict the 475 upcoming dispersion process. This is our case: the increment of new suitable areas in 476 ENM predictions stabilised from the third decade after introduction (1990-1999), i.e., only after three decades of dispersion, the species' occurrence data were 477 478 representative of the species' environmental requirements. These results thus suggest that modelling expansion based on the early stages of introduction may provide limited 479 results, demanding the interactive recalibration of models as new distribution data 480 becomes available. 481

482

Contrary to expectations, our results suggest that barriers to dispersion were not insuperable by the common waxbill, although they might be important for other species with lower dispersal capacity. The few differences found in the projections using the ENM-only and dispersion models (with strong and weak barriers) indicate that the species was able to disperse over time following the suitable areas predicted by ENMs. Previous studies have suggested that the dispersion capacity of the common waxbill

489 across Iberia was very low in the first decades after the first introduction (e.g., Silva et 490 al. 2002; Reino 2005). Justifications for this relied on the absence of favourable habitat conditions (i.e. agricultural fields near water bodies, Ribeiro et al. 2020) out of the 491 492 areas where the species was first introduced. This was based on the slower colonisation process in the southern regions of Portugal, where initial populations were 493 very small and limited to the Tagus valley around Lisbon and the westernmost region 494 495 of Iberia (Portugal) and an acceleration after the 80s. According to these studies, the additional introductions across Iberia might have jointly fostered higher dispersion 496 497 rates to new areas, suggesting that the dispersal capacity of the common waxbill in 498 Iberia is a combination of both habitat suitability and propagule pressure. However, 499 our results suggest that this is likely to be a consequence of insufficient data to capture 500 the species' environmental requirements during the first decades, as they projected a 501 potential of expansion lower than the real one.

502

503 Conclusions

504 Accurately anticipating the expansion of IAS is key to ensuring the successful 505 implementation of preventive and mitigative actions. Forecasting invasions by means 506 of different quantitative methods and modelling strategies have been used in the last 507 three decades, and new approaches are constantly emerging (Peterson 2003; Reino et al. 2009; Jiménez-Valverde et al. 2011). However, predictions may be severely 508 509 compromised by different methodological options and their specific limitations. ENMs are powerful tools to predict the spread of IAS and guide management. Although ENM 510 511 enables predicting and evaluating biological invasions, it is often compromised by the 512 amount (time-series length), quality (spatial and temporal resolution) and availability (data access) of distribution data in both native and invaded ranges. In this study, we 513

514 demonstrate that invaded range-only data may be used to accurately project the expansion of alien species in novel regions if enough time (at least three decades) is 515 given to allow the species to expand and occupy the most suitable conditions. Our 516 517 study evaluates the capacity of ENMs based on spatiotemporal data of invaded ranges-only to forecast the potential distribution of IAS, while it clarifies the amount of 518 data required in terms of length of the time series of the species distribution data since 519 520 establishment, contributing to a better understanding of climatic niche changes during the expansion process of alien species, and offering a solution to managers and 521 522 scientists dealing with the scarcity and asymmetry of distribution data available for 523 alien species worldwide, in their native and invaded ranges. This helps solve a much-524 discussed conundrum, and offers a practical solution to better guide management actions and significantly improve stakeholders' ability to halt biological invasions. 525

526

527 Acknowledgments

528 We thank Victor Encarnação for providing information on the common waxbill 529 recaptures in Portugal. We are also thankful to Martin Sullivan for helping with data 530 and initial discussions. JS was financed by the FEDER Funds through the Operational Competitiveness Factors Program-COMPETE and by National Funds through FCT-531 532 Foundation for Science and Technology within the scope of the project "PTDC/ BIA-EVL/30931/2017-POCI-01-0145-FEDER-030931" and the project EuropaBON (Grant 533 534 agreement No. 101003553, EU Horizon 2020 Coordination and Support Action). NS and LR are supported by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia public 535 536 institute (IP), under the Stimulus of Scientific Employment: Individual Support contract 537 no. CEECIND/02213/2017 and CEECIND/00445/2017, respectively. RJL through a FCT - Transitory Norm contract [DL57/2016/CP1440/CT0006]. This work and LR were 538

539 funded by the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) UNRAVEL project

540 (PTDC/BIA-ECO/0207/2020).

541

542 **References**

- Araújo, M. B. & Pearson, R. G. (2005). Equilibrium of species' distributions with
 climate. *Ecography* 28, 693–695, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2005.0906-</u>
 <u>7590.04253.x</u>
- Araújo, M. B., Pearson, R. G., Thuiller, W. & Erhard, M. (2005). Validation of species– climate impact models under climate change. *Global Change Biology* 11, 1504– 1513, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01000.x</u>
- Barbet-Massin, M., Rome, Q., Villemant, C., Courchamp, F., 2018. Can species
 distribution models really predict the expansion of invasive species? PLoS One
 13, 1–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193085</u>
- Barbet-Massin, M., Thuiller, W., Jiguet, F., 2010. How much do we overestimate future
 local extinction rates when restricting the range of occurrence data in climate
 suitability models? Ecography 33, 878–886. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.16000587.2010.06181.x
 </u>
- Beaumont, L. J. *et al.* (2009). Different climatic envelopes among invasive populations
 may lead to underestimations of current and future biological invasions.
 Diversity and Distributions 15, 409–420, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2008.00547.x</u>
- Bellard, C. & Jeschke, J. M. (2016). A spatial mismatch between invader impacts and
 research publications. *Conserv Biol* 30, 230–232,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12611</u>
- Bellard, C., Bernery, C., & Leclerc, C. (2021). Looming extinctions due to invasive
 species: Irreversible loss of ecological strategy and evolutionary history. Global
 Change Biology, 27(20), 4967-4979. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15771
- 566Bellard, C., Cassey, P., & Blackburn, T. M. (2016). Alien species as a driver of recent567extinctions.Biologyletters,12(2),20150623.568https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2015.0623
- Blossey, B. & Notzold, R. (1995). Evolution of Increased Competitive Ability in Invasive
 Nonindigenous Plants: A Hypothesis. *The Journal of Ecology* 83, https://doi.org/10.2307/2261425
- 572 Broennimann, O. & Guisan, A. (2008). Predicting current and future biological 573 invasions: both native and invaded ranges matter. *Biol Lett* **4**, 585–589, 574 <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0254</u>
- 575Broennimann, O. et al. (2007). Evidence of climatic niche shift during biological576invasion. Ecol Lett 10, 701–709, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01060.x
- Büyüktahtakın, I. E., & Haight, R. G. (2018). A review of operations research models
 in invasive species management: state of the art, challenges, and future
 directions. Annals of Operations Research, 271, 357-403.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-017-2670-5</u>
- 582 Capel, J.J. (1981). Los climas de España Oikos-Tau, S.A. Ediciones, Barcelona.

- Capinha, C., & Anastácio, P. (2011). Assessing the environmental requirements of
 invaders using ensembles of distribution models. Diversity and Distributions,
 17(1), 13-24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00727.x</u>
- del Moral, J. C. (2003). Atlas de las aves reproductoras de España. Dirección General
 de Conservación de la Naturaleza, Madrid.
- 588 Diagne, C., Leroy, B., Vaissière, A. C., Gozlan, R. E., Roiz, D., Jarić, I., ... &
 589 Courchamp, F. (2021). High and rising economic costs of biological invasions
 590 worldwide. Nature, 592(7855), 571-576. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-</u>
 591 <u>03405-6</u>
- Díaz, S., Settele, J., Brondízio, E. S., Ngo, H. T., Agard, J., Arneth, A., ... & Zayas, C.
 N. (2019). Pervasive human-driven decline of life on Earth points to the need for transformative change. Science, 366(6471), eaax3100.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax3100
- 596 Dietze, M. C., Fox, A., Beck-Johnson, L. M., Betancourt, J. L., Hooten, M. B., 597 Jarnevich, C. S., ... & White, E. P. (2018). Iterative near-term ecological 598 forecasting: Needs, opportunities, and challenges. Proceedings of the National 599 Academy of Sciences, 115(7), 1424-1432. 600 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710231115
- eBird (2019). eBird: An online database of bird distribution and abundance [web application]. eBird, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, New York. Available:
 http://www.ebird.org. (Accessed: 1 July, 2019).
- Ehrenfeld, J. G. (2010). Ecosystem consequences of biological invasions. Annual
 review of ecology, evolution, and systematics, 41, 59-80.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102209-144650</u>
- 607Elith, J. & Leathwick, J. R. (2009). Species Distribution Models: Ecological Explanation608and Prediction Across Space and Time. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution,609andSystematics60940,677–697.
- 610 <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120159</u>
- Engler, R., Hordijk, W., & Pellissier, L. (2013). MigClim: implementing dispersal into
 species distribution models. R package version, 1(6). Retrieved from
 https://cran.reproject.org/package=MigClim
- Engler, R., Hordijk, W., and Guisan, A. (2012). The MIGCLIM R package seamless
 integration of dispersal constraints into projections of species distribution
 models. Ecography, 35 (10), 872–878. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07608.x
- Equipa Atlas (2008). Atlas das Aves Nidificantes em Portugal (1999-2005). Instituto
 da Conservação da Natureza e da Biodiversidade, Sociedade Portuguesa para
 o Estudo das Aves, Parque Natural da Madeira e Secretaria Regional do
 Ambiente e do Mar. Assírio & Alvim, Lisboa.
- Farr, T.G., Rosen, R.A., Caro, E., Crippen, R., Duren, R., Hensley, S., Kobrick, M., 622 623 Paller, M., Rodriguez, E., Roth, L., Seal, D., Shaffer, S., Shimada, J., Umland, 624 J., Werner, M., Oskin, M., Burbank, D., & Alsdorf, D. (2007). The Shuttle Radar 625 Mission. Topography Reviews of Geophysics, 45. RG2004. 626 https://doi.org/10.1029/2005RG000183
- Ficetola, G. F., Thuiller, W., & Miaud, C. (2007). Prediction and validation of the
 potential global distribution of a problematic alien invasive species—the
 American bullfrog. Diversity and distributions, 13(4), 476-485.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2007.00377.x</u>

- Fick, S.E. and Hijmans, R.J. (2017). WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate
 surfaces for global land areas. International Journal of Climatology, 37 (12),
 4302–4315. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5086</u>
- Fitzpatrick, M. C., Weltzin, J. F., Sanders, N. J. & Dunn, R. R. (2006). The
 biogeography of prediction error: why does the introduced range of the fire ant
 over-predict its native range? *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 16, 24–33,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-822X.2006.00258.x</u>
- Fournier, A., Penone, C., Pennino, M. G., & Courchamp, F. (2019). Predicting future
 invaders and future invasions. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences, 116(16), 7905-7910. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803456116</u>
- Franklin, J. (2010). *Mapping species distributions: spatial inference and prediction*.
 (Cambridge University Press).
- Fréjaville, T., & Benito Garzón, M. (2018). The EuMedClim database: Yearly climate data (1901–2014) of 1 km resolution grids for Europe and the Mediterranean
 Basin. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 6, 31.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2018.00031
- Genovesi, P., & Shine, C. (2004). European strategy on invasive alien species:
 Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Habitats (Bern Convention) (No. 18-137). Council of Europe.
- González-Moreno, P., Diez, J. M., Richardson, D. M., & Vilà, M. (2015). Beyond
 climate: disturbance niche shifts in invasive species. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 24(3), 360-370. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12271</u>.
- Groom, Q., Strubbe, D., Adriaens, T., Davis, A. J., Desmet, P., Oldoni, D., ... &
 Vanderhoeven, S. (2019). Empowering citizens to inform decision-making as a
 way forward to support invasive alien species policy. Citizen Science: Theory
 and Practice, 4(1). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5334/cstp.238</u>
- 657 Guillera-Arroita, G., Lahoz-Monfort, J.J., and Elith, J. (2014). Maxent is not a 658 presence-absence method: A comment on Thibaud et al. Methods in Ecology 659 and Evolution, 5 (11), 1192–1197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12252</u>
- Guisan, A. & Zimmermann, N. E. (2000). Predictive habitat distribution models in
 ecology. *Ecological Modelling* 135, 147–186, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-</u>
 3800(00)00354-9
- Guisan, A., & Thuiller, W. (2005). Predicting species distribution: offering more than
 simple habitat models. Ecology Letters, 8(9), 993-1009.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00792.x
- Guisan, A., Petitpierre, B., Broennimann, O., Daehler, C., & Kueffer, C. (2014).
 Unifying niche shift studies: insights from biological invasions. Trends in ecology & evolution, 29(5), 260-269. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.02.009</u>
- Guisan, A., Petitpierre, B., Broennimann, O., Daehler, C., & Kueffer, C. (2014).
 Unifying niche shift studies: insights from biological invasions. *Trends in* ecology & evolution, 29(5), 260-269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.02.009.
- 672 Guisan, A., Thuiller, W., & Zimmermann, N. E. (2017). *Habitat suitability and distribution models: with applications in R.* (Cambridge University Press).
- 674 Hutchinson, G. (1957). Concluding remarks. *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on* 675 *Quantitative Biology* **22**, 415–427
- Jarnevich, C. S., Sofaer, H. R., Belamaric, P., & Engelstad, P. (2022). Regional models
 do not outperform continental models for invasive species. NeoBiota, 77, 1-22.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3897/neobiota.77.86364</u>

- Jeschke, J. M. & Strayer, D. L. (2008). Usefulness of bioclimatic models for studying
 climate change and invasive species. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1134, 1–24,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1439.002</u>
- Jiménez-Valverde, A., Lobo, J. M. & Hortal, J. (2008). Not as good as they seem: the
 importance of concepts in species distribution modelling. *Diversity and Distributions* 14, 885–890. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2008.00496.x</u>
- Jiménez-Valverde, A., Peterson, A. T., Soberón, J., Overton, J. M., Aragón, P., & Lobo,
 J. M. (2011). Use of niche models in invasive species risk assessments. *Biological invasions*, *13*(12), 2785-2797. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-</u>
 <u>9963-4</u>.
- Keane, R. (2002). Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **17**, 164–170. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-</u>
 <u>5347(02)02499-0</u>
- Keil, P., Belmaker, J., Wilson, A. M., Unitt, P., & Jetz, W. (2013). Downscaling of
 species distribution models: a hierarchical approach. Methods in Ecology and
 Evolution, 4(1), 82-94. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210x.2012.00264.x</u>
- Liu, C., Berry, P.M., Dawson, T.P., and Pearson, R.G. (2005). Selecting thresholds of
 occurrence in the prediction of species distributions. Ecography, 28, 385–393.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0906-7590.2005.03957.x</u>
- Liu, C., Wolter, W., Xian, W. & Jeschke, J.M. (2020). Species Distribution Models Have
 Limited Spatial Transferability for Invasive Species. *Ecology Letters* 23(11),
 1682–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13577</u>
- Naeem, S., Bunker, D. E., Hector, A., Loreau, M., & Perrings, C. (Eds.). (2009).
 Biodiversity, ecosystem functioning, and human wellbeing: an ecological and economic perspective. Oxford University Press.
- Naimi, B., Capinha, C., Ribeiro, J., Rahbek, C., Strubbe, D., Reino, L., & Araújo, M. B.
 (2022). Potential for invasion of traded birds under climate and land-cover
 change. *Global change biology*, 28(19), 5654-5666.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16310
- Ogden, Nick H., John RU Wilson, David M. Richardson, Cang Hui, Sarah J. Davies,
 Sabrina Kumschick, Johannes J. Le Roux, John Measey, Wolf-Christian Saul,
 and Juliet RC Pulliam (2019). Emerging infectious diseases and biological
 invasions: a call for a One Health collaboration in science and management.
 Royal Society Open Science 6 (3), 181577. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.181577
- Payne, R. B., A. Bonan, and G. M. Kirwan (2020). Common Waxbill (Estrilda astrild),
 version 1.0. In Birds of the World (J. del Hoyo, A. Elliott, J. Sargatal, D. A.
 Christie, and E. de Juana, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
 https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.comwax.01
- Payne, R. B., A. Bonan, and G. M. Kirwan (2020). Common Waxbill (Estrilda astrild),
 version 1.0. In Birds of the World (J. del Hoyo, A. Elliott, J. Sargatal, D. A.
 Christie, and E. de Juana, Editors). Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY, USA.
 https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.comwax.01
- Peterson, A. T. (2003). Predicting the geography of species' invasions via ecological
 niche modeling. The quarterly review of biology, 78(4), 419-433.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/378926</u>
- Peterson, A. T., & Vieglais, D. A. (2001). Predicting Species Invasions Using
 Ecological Niche Modeling: New Approaches from Bioinformatics Attack a
 Pressing Problem: A new approach to ecological niche modeling, based on new
 tools drawn from biodiversity informatics, is applied to the challenge of

 728
 predicting potential species' invasions. BioScience, 51(5), 363-371.

 729
 https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2001)051[0363:Psiuen]2.0.Co;2

- Peterson, A. T., et al. (2011). *Ecological niches and geographic distributions (MPB-* 49) Vol. 56 (Princeton University Press).
- Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., and Schapire, R.E. (2006). Maximum entropy modeling
 of species geographic distributions. Ecological Modelling, 190 (3–4), 231–259.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.03.026</u>
- Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Dudík, M., Schapire, R.E., and Blair, M.E. (2017).
 Opening the black box: an open-source release of Maxent. Ecography, 40 (7), 887–893. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.03049</u>
- Phillips, S.J., Dudík, M., Elith, J., Graham, C.H., Lehmann, A., Leathwick, J., and Ferrier, S. (2009). Sample selection bias and presence-only distribution models: implications for background and pseudo-absence data. Ecological Applications, 19 (1), 181–197. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/07-2153.1</u>
- Pili, A.N., Tingley, R., Sy, E.Y. *et al.* (2020). Niche shifts and environmental non-equilibrium undermine the usefulness of ecological niche models for invasion risk assessments. *Sci Rep* **10**, 7972. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64568-2</u>
- Pysek, P. *et al.* (2008). Geographical and taxonomic biases in invasion ecology.
 Trends Ecol Evol 23, 237–244, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2008.02.002</u>
- R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>
- Raes, N. and ter Steege, H. (2007). A null-model for significance testing of presenceonly species distribution models. Ecography, 30 (5), 727–736.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0906-7590.05041.x</u>
- Regulation (EU) No 1143/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22
 October 2014 on the prevention and management of the introduction and
 spread of invasive alien species. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1417443504720&uri=CELEX:32014R1143
- Reino, L. (2005). Variation partitioning for range expansion of an introduced species:
 the common waxbill Estrilda astrild in Portugal. Journal of Ornithology, 146(4),
 377-382. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-005-0093-6</u>
- Reino, L., Moya-Laraño, J., & Cláudio Heitor, A. (2009). Using survival regression to
 study patterns of expansion of invasive species: will the common waxbill expand
 with global warming?. Ecography, 32(2), 237-246.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2008.05354.x
- Ribeiro, J., Sillero, N., Lopes, R. J., Sullivan, M. J., Santana, J., Capinha, C., & Reino,
 L. (2020). 20 Common Waxbill (Estrilda astrild Linnaeus, 1758). *Invasive Birds*,
 155.
- Rufino, R. (Coord.) (1989). Atlas das aves que nidificam em Portugal Continental.
 Ministério do Plano e da Administração do Território, Serviço Nacional de
 Parques, Reservas e Conservação da Natureza, Lisboa.
- Scheffers, B. R., Oliveira, B. F., Lamb, I., & Edwards, D. P. (2019). Global wildlife trade
 across the tree of life. Science, 366(6461), 71-76.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav5327</u>
- Seebens et al., (2021). Projecting the continental accumulation of alien species
 through to 2050. Global Change Biology, 27(5), 970-982.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15333</u>

- Sillero, N. (2011). What does ecological modelling model? A proposed classification
 of ecological niche models based on their underlying methods. *Ecological Modelling*, 222, 1343–1346. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.01.018</u>
- Sillero, N., Ribeiro-Silva, J., & Arenas-Castro, S. (2022). Shifts in climatic realised
 niches of Iberian species. Oikos, 2022(4), e08505.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.08505</u>
- Silva, T., Reino, L. M., & Borralho, R. (2002). A model for range expansion of an introduced species: the common waxbill Estrilda astrild in Portugal. Diversity and Distributions, 8(6), 319-326. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1472-4642.2002.00156.x
- Soberon, J., & Peterson, A. T. (2005). Interpretation of models of fundamental
 ecological niches and species' distributional areas.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.17161/bi.v2i0.4</u>
- Urban, M. C., Phillips, B. L., Skelly, D. K. & Shine, R. (2007). The cane toad's
 (Chaunus [Bufo] marinus) increasing ability to invade Australia is revealed by a
 dynamically updated range model. *Proc Biol Sci* 274, 1413–1419,
 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.0114
- van Wilgen, N. J., Gillespie, M. S., Richardson, D. M. & Measey, J. (2018). A
 taxonomically and geographically constrained information base limits nonnative reptile and amphibian risk assessment: a systematic review. *PeerJ* 6,
 e5850, <u>https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5850</u>
- 798 Venette, R. C. (Ed.). (2015). Pest risk modelling and mapping for invasive alien 799 species (Vol. 7). CABI.
- Venette, R. C., Kriticos, D. J., Magarey, R. D., Koch, F. H., Baker, R. H., Worner, S.
 P., ... & Pedlar, J. (2010). Pest risk maps for invasive alien species: a roadmap
 for improvement. BioScience, 60(5), 349-362.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2010.60.5.5</u>
- Wiens, J. J. & Graham, C. H. (2005). Niche Conservatism: Integrating Evolution,
 Ecology, and Conservation Biology. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*519–539,
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.102803.095431
- Wilson, J. R. U. *et al.* (2007). Residence time and potential range: crucial considerations in modelling plant invasions. *Diversity and Distributions* 13, 11–22, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1366-9516.2006.00302.x</u>